

# The Florida Agricultural Handbook of Solid and Hazardous Waste Regulation: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)<sup>1</sup>

---

Michael T. Olexa and Ian Goldfarb<sup>2</sup>

## What is the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)?

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) was enacted in 1980. The statute was amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) in 1986. CERCLA empowers the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to investigate and pursue cleanup of abandoned sites contaminated by hazardous substances and provides a trust fund for that purpose.

CERCLA extends liability for site pollution to several classes of potential defendants at once. It is a

powerful measure for forcing responsible parties to contribute to the costs of cleanup.

## What substances are regulated under CERCLA?

CERCLA cleanup provisions cover all substances designated as hazardous under these acts of Congress:

- Clean Water Act (CWA)
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)

---

1. This is EDIS document FE763, a publication of the Food and Resource Economics Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL. Published November 2008. Please visit the EDIS website at <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>.

Disclaimer: This handbook is distributed with the understanding that the authors are not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and that the information contained herein should not be regarded or relied upon as a substitute for professional advice. This handbook is not all-inclusive in providing information to achieve compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of agriculture. For these reasons, using these materials constitutes an agreement to hold harmless the authors, the Center for Agricultural and Natural Resource Law, the Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, and the University of Florida for any liability claims, damages, or expenses that may be incurred by any person or party as a result of reference to or reliance upon the information contained in this handbook.

2. Michael T. Olexa, professor, Food and Resource Economics Department; director, Center for Agricultural and Natural Resource Law, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; and member, Florida Bar and Agricultural Law Committee of the Florida Bar; and Ian Goldfarb, graduate student, Levin College of Law and Hough Graduate School of Business, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.

- Clean Air Act (CAA)
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)

## What substances are excluded under CERCLA?

Substances excluded from CERCLA coverage include

- petroleum, including crude oil or any fraction thereof, which is not otherwise designated as a hazardous substance under another statute;
- natural gas or natural gas liquids;
- liquefied natural gas;
- synthetic gas usable for fuel.

Though petroleum and petroleum products are specifically excluded from regulation under CERCLA, Congress has enacted provisions under RCRA that impose liability on owners of petroleum storage tanks ([FE762](#)).

## Who is regulated by CERCLA?

CERCLA is aimed at four types of potentially responsible parties:

1. Current owners and operators of contaminated sites
2. Former owners or operators of contaminated sites
3. Generators of hazardous substances who arranged for treatment or disposal of hazardous substances
4. Transporters of the hazardous waste who chose the disposal site

Courts have broadly interpreted CERCLA to reach everyone who may have been in any way responsible for a site's contamination. A partial list of people who have faced liability under CERCLA includes the following:

- current and past owners who purchased land without knowledge of the hazardous pollutants there

- current landowners who merely act as landlords, lessees and sub-lessees, if they had control of the site when it was contaminated
- past landowners who owned the site when it was contaminated
- government entities that may have been involved in regulating site
- any commercial entity including corporations, associations, partnerships, and sole proprietorships
- corporate officers and management employees of companies who had control of the companies when the site was contaminated
- anyone who arranged for the disposal of the hazardous waste
- foreclosing banks, lien holders, creditors, and mortgage companies
- companies who innocently purchased the facilities where hazardous waste is buried
- moving companies

It is important to note that owners may be held liable even if they purchased land without knowledge of hazardous waste buried there. This has been a source of great concern to land buyers, foreclosing banks, and others about to acquire land.

## How is liability determined under CERCLA?

CERCLA imposes strict liability, and therefore does not require a specific finding of negligence before penalties may be imposed (see the section on Strict Liability in this handbook). CERCLA also provides for joint and several liability which allows EPA to force a party who may be responsible for only part of the damage to pay the entire cost of cleanup. Joint and several liability simply means that EPA may seek to recover some or all of the cleanup costs from any party or combination of parties it finds responsible for damage (see the discussion on joint and several liability in [FE783](#)).

## What are the defenses to liability under CERCLA?

Legal defenses to CERCLA liability are limited to the following:

- acts of God
- acts of war
- actions or omissions of a third party
- the security interest exemption
- the innocent landowner defense

There is a defense that is not specifically listed, but may be used if a site is contaminated by pesticide application. You would not be liable under CERCLA if you followed all label instructions under FIFRA.

The third party defense may only be used when the third party is entirely responsible for the contamination and when there is no contract between the defendant and the third party. For example, this defense may not be used if there is a sales contract between the current owner (the defendant) and the prior owner (third party whose actions caused the contamination). In that situation, the innocent landowner defense would apply.

The security interest exemption protects lenders (such as banks) from liability when the lender acquires ownership through a default or foreclosure on a mortgage and does not participate in the management of the facility.

The innocent landowner defense applies when a new landowner did not know, and had no reason to know, that a previous landowner had contaminated the property. It can be very difficult for a new landowner to prove this defense. Even if an innocent landowner defense is successful, the innocent landowner must still provide proper notice for the contaminated site, take reasonable precautions to prevent the spread of the contamination, submit the contaminated site to any cleanup efforts prescribed, and accept stringent land use regulations that often prevent intended uses.

*Note:* No indemnification clause will be effective in removing one's self from CERCLA liability.

## What is an environmental audit?

An environmental audit is an evaluation of the condition of land, and an appraisal of whether the lender or buyer is likely to become subject to some type of enforcement action.

Under CERCLA, all buyers, even buyers without knowledge of buried contaminants, may be held liable for cleanup costs. Thus buyers and lenders alike should conduct an audit before purchasing property that may be contaminated. This audit can help you avoid being made responsible for the prior owners' cleanup costs.

## Why do an environmental audit?

The best way to prove an innocent landowner defense is with an environmental audit. An audit demonstrates that the new landowner used due diligence and took all appropriate actions to find out whether there was any likelihood of contamination. For this reason, environmental audits have become very popular with purchasers of land as a form of protection from liability.

## What does CERCLA require?

CERCLA requires that the location of any site containing hazardous materials be reported to EPA. Additionally, prompt notification is also required after any spill or release of contaminated materials into the environment. Failure to report in either case may result in fines and/or imprisonment.

## Who is responsible for enforcing CERCLA?

EPA is the chief enforcer of CERCLA; however, the President is authorized to enter into agreements with states that wish to enforce the provisions of the statute. EPA must consult with state and local officials before deciding upon remedies for contamination at federal facilities.

## How is CERCLA enforced?

EPA may begin investigations whenever there is reason to believe that a release has occurred or may occur. EPA, or a state or local authority acting under agreement with EPA, may require the person or business under investigation to provide them with

- information about the nature and handling of all hazardous materials on the site;
- information related to the subject's ability to pay for the cleanup.

EPA may enter, at reasonable times, any site dealing with hazardous materials and take samples from the site. If EPA requests are denied during the investigation phase, the agency may issue compliance orders to compel cooperation. EPA can enforce these orders with civil fines of up to \$25,000 per day.

## What are the procedures for a cleanup under CERCLA?

If the investigation confirms that a hazardous substance (or a pollutant or contaminant with the potential to pose an imminent threat to public health) has been released or may be released, EPA may exercise any combination of several response options:

- removal action
- remedial action
- enforcement

EPA prefers permanent, cost-effective measures whenever possible. Also, the cleanup must be in accordance with other appropriate federal or state environmental statutes.

EPA, or the state, may undertake the cleanup. The responsible parties may be permitted to begin a private cleanup if they can demonstrate to EPA that it will be as effective as the proposed EPA measures. This alternative may be much less costly for parties who would otherwise be forced to pay for a cleanup conducted by EPA or the state.