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After the arrival in 2005 of citrus greening disease or Huanglongbing (HLB) in Florida, making a profitable rootstock decision became more complicated. Previously, one could choose a rootstock based almost solely on yield, fruit quality and soil type. Now, despite increasing evidence suggesting that the choice of rootstock and scion variety may have a favorable impact on grove performance and financial outcomes in the presence of HLB, tree survival is also critical. New rootstocks are being developed and released for commercialization at an accelerated pace. Some of those rootstocks appear to offer a level of protection against HLB, but they have not had the same degree of field evaluation over numerous locations, years and sites as those rootstocks released prior to the HLB era. Today, the successful grower must be confident in managing HLB among young, non-bearing trees and later, and then choose rootstocks that have good horticultural traits sufficient to be profitable. Regardless of these changes, there remains a time-honored framework for selecting rootstocks.

Choosing a rootstock is an important decision because it is a relatively permanent one and has long-term significance. The steps in making that decision may not always be obvious. For example, never underestimate your personal experience along with that of friends, neighbors, and nursery managers. Anecdotal and personal observation may be conflicting and sometimes confusing, but the information can lead to better rootstock decisions. Some of the other steps and factors involved are described below in a generalized approach to selecting the best rootstocks for your conditions. The emphasis is on horticultural performance, not on HLB issues.

Note that any rootstock decision in the post-HLB era is necessarily more risky than previously simply because of not fully understood interactions between the bacterium presumed to cause HLB and the rootstock itself.

1. Gather the Facts About the Site and Its History

Consider as much factual information as possible. Doing so is especially critical in the post-HLB world. Performance information is limited for all rootstocks, but especially new ones released in recent years. Rootstocks are no longer released after 20 years of field evaluation. Emphasis can be placed on:

- **Soil chemical and physical traits; site characteristics.**
  Texture, depth to an argillic [clay] or spodic [organic] layer, organic matter content, pH, water-holding capacity, drainage, depth to the water table, nutrient status, etc. Use the information in the USDA County Soil Survey. Aerial images with soil data are available online along with descriptive documents of the soil series. They are quite valuable in showing site variations especially those that existed before planting. The “poor” spots tend to persist in their effects in a grove.

- **Topography.** Changes in elevation are important to both air and water drainage. Images showing elevation changes and other features are available on the internet. Visit the Florida Geographic Data Library (FGDL) at the University of Florida (www.fgdl.org) for a wealth of information.
of free information including aerial images and the
digitized County Soil Surveys. Another site is the Florida
Department of Environmental Protection Land Boundary
Information Systems (LABINS) at www.labins.org. This
site has excellent, reasonably current aerial images.

2. Know Your Objective
Many decisions are made within the framework of a
well-defined goal. Therefore, consider:

• Scion cultivar. Like choosing a rootstock, the cultivar
selected represents a choice not often or easily changed
after planting.

• Market. Juice quality [mainly soluble solids or sugar
content] has often been less important than yield based
on field research if the fruit is for processing; however,
that scenario has changed with the presence of HLB
in Florida, thus affecting the choice of rootstock. With
historically high prices for pounds-solids, the combina-
tion of high yield with high solids is desirable. If the fruit
is for the fresh market, the influence of the rootstock on
external quality and size may be more important.

• Solid-set or replanting. Sometimes for replanting, a
different rootstock than the one originally selected for a
solid planting is appropriate. When the replant space is
small, choosing a rootstock for its vigor and that will be
productive in the small space becomes more important
than other characteristics. Resetting versus replanting has
also taken on new meaning in the post-HLB era. Most
growers face financial decisions related to making that
choice partly because of the common observation that the
psyllid insect vector of HLB tends to not penetrate reset
groves to the same degree as in replanted groves. The
psyllid apparently tends to remain at higher levels near
the edges of reset groves.

3. Know the Rootstocks
There are three readily available sources of information
about rootstocks. Each provides a different perspective.
They are:

• Experience. Strongly consider planting trees on root-
stocks for which you have had positive experiences. The
performance boundaries of trees on a particular rootstock
are established from years of commercial use. Confidence
(and less risk) is derived from that practical experience;
however, more risk might be encountered if the decision
is made to plant outside the boundaries of the rootstocks
with years of field data and grower experiences. In
today’s post-HLB era, there is limited experience to reply
on so other criteria must be used along with accepting
greater risk.

• Field experiments and research data. Rootstock research
functions mainly to determine the commercial potential
of new rootstocks, and to ensure that the capabilities and
limitations of currently used rootstocks are completely
and clearly understood. The various field experiments
established for this purpose, including those in com-
mercial groves, represent essentially the only source of
publicly available data regarding new rootstocks.

• Citrus Rootstock Selection Guide, 3rd Edition. A
substantially revised edition that now describes the traits
of 45 rootstocks became available in 2015: http://edis.
ifas.ufl.edu/hs1260. For an interactive web version, go to

• Rootstock Compatibility. Many rootstocks are hybrids
of trifoliate orange. There may be compatibility issues
expressed at the budunion like experienced with Murcott
on Carrizo. Rootstock compatibility is a particularly
important, but largely unknown aspect of rootstocks
released in recent years.

4. Choose the Rootstock
The information gathering processes described above
provides a sound foundation for this final step; but it is also
well to recognize from the outset that all rootstock deci-
sions are tempered by the absence of any perfect choices.
The relative importance given to individual rootstock traits
affects rootstock choice. In Florida, rootstock selection is
generally based on a combination of concern for productiv-
ty and tree survival. “Productivity” for juice fruit can be
defined as the maximum quantity of juice or soluble solids
with the minimum number of risks at the lowest cost.
Therefore, priority is normally given to rootstock effects on
volume of fruit while maintaining acceptable pound solids
per box.

Realistic bottom line? Making profitable rootstock selec-
tions essentially involves developing a composite assess-
ment of a rootstock based on its individual characteristics,
and then choosing the rootstock that best matches your
interests and goals. No one rootstock is likely to be entirely
satisfactory in any set of circumstances.

Today, to survive and be profitable, it is essential to consider
two factors: [1] Horticultural, site, and pest and disease
characteristics; and [2] HLB response. Those two factors
can be combined in ways that offer substantially different
outcomes:
1. Good horticultural, etc., traits—poor HLB response

2. Average horticultural traits—poor HLB response

3. Average horticultural traits—good HLB response

4. Good horticultural traits—good HLB response

How would you rate these combinations? Number 4 is clearly the most acceptable and desirable; Number 3 might be okay; Number 2 is unacceptable; but, what about Number 1?

Another realistic bottom line? Plant your own rootstock trial. It is easy to do, easily managed and it will be your best decision-making tool.