

Nutrient Solution Formulation for Hydroponic (Perlite, Rockwool, NFT) Tomatoes in Florida¹

George J. Hochmuth and Robert C. Hochmuth²

Plants require 17 elements for growth and these nutrients can be supplied from air, water, and fertilizers. The 16 elements are carbon (C), hydrogen (H), oxygen (O), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), nitrogen (N), sulfur (S), calcium (Ca), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), boron (B), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), molybdenum (Mo), chlorine (Cl), and nickel (Ni). The key to successful management of a fertilizer program is to ensure adequate concentrations of all nutrients throughout the life cycle of the crop. Inadequate or excessive amounts of any nutrient results in poor crop performance. Excessive amounts can be especially troublesome since they can damage the crop, waste money and fertilizer resources, and pollute the environment when fertilizer is released during flushing of the nutrient delivery system.

For Florida greenhouse vegetable producers, management focuses on all nutrients except for C, H, and O. The latter three elements are usually supplied in adequate amounts from air and water. Growers in northern climates, where greenhouses are not ventilated in the winter, see benefits from additions of C from carbon dioxide (CO_2). Increased yields in Florida from additions of CO_2 are unlikely due to the need for frequent ventilation.

Crop demand for nutrients changes through the season. Small amounts of nutrients are needed early, then the demand increases as the crop grows, especially after several clusters of fruit have been set on the plant. A common problem comes early in the season when plants become too vegetative (bullish) from too much N. The bullish growth distorts the leaves and stems, causing cracks and grooves in the stems. These openings are excellent entry ports for decay-causing organisms such as soft rot. Bullish plants usually produce misshapen fruits often with significant amounts of blossom-end rot and catfacing. Keeping the N level low (60 to 70 parts per million) early in the season helps eliminate bullishness.

High K also can be a problem since it can interfere with the plant's capability to absorb Ca and Mg. Plants exposed to excess K often develop Mg deficiency on the lower leaves and the fruits often develop blossom-end rot (BER), especially early in the season.

Nutrient management programs should begin with an understanding of the nutrient solution concentrations in parts per million (ppm), or milligrams per liter (mg/L) for the various nutrients required by tomato plants. By managing the concentrations of individual nutrients, growers can control the growth and yield of the crop. Table 1 presents the fertilizer recommendations for tomatoes for the various growth stages during the season in Florida. These recommendations are applicable to all types of production systems (perlite, rockwool, and NFT) in which healthy roots are maintained, and are a suitable base when determining a nutrient solution plan for cucumbers and peppers. However, cucumbers will need more N early in the season than tomato because cucumber plants grow faster than tomatoes.

The major elements to manage are N and K for the reasons cited above. The program outlined in Table 1 has been proven in Florida and should provide adequate nutrition and avoid the problems of bullishness as well as the problems of fruit ripening disorders indirectly caused by excess K. Final solution pH should be in the range of 5.8 to 6.2.

One of the first steps in a nutrient management program is to test the well water. A water sample should be analyzed for pH, carbonates, S, Mg, Ca, and Fe. Most well water in Florida has pH greater than 6.5. The pH and carbonates are used to guide in the acidification of the nutrient solution. Water from many wells in Florida contains significant amounts of Ca and often small amounts of Mg. Growers can take advantage of these nutrients, which in the case of Ca, might be 40 to 60 ppm. Some, but probably not all, of this Ca is available to the crop.

Sulfur and iron concentrations are determined because they can increase potential for irrigation emitter clogging from bacterial slimes that use the Fe and S for growth. These nutrients generally are not considered in the nutrient formulation calculations.

Formulation Methods

There are basically two methods to supply the fertilizer nutrients to the crop: 1) pre-mixed products, or 2) grower-

formulated solutions. The two methods differ in the approach to formulating the fertilizer and the resulting nutrient-use efficiency. Fertilizer materials that can be used for both methods are presented in Table 2. The formulae in this publication are for a final dilution of 1 gallon each stock to 100 gallons of final solution. If using proportioners installed in parallel on one water source line, amounts of fertilizers in stocks will need to be calculated keeping in mind the intended final concentrations.

Pre-Mix Method

There are several commercial pre-mixed fertilizer formulations and some of these generalized formulations are presented in Table 2. Some of these materials contain Mg, some do not. Those that do not will need to be supplemented with magnesium sulfate. All formulations need supplementing with Ca (from calcium nitrate or calcium chloride) and N (from several possible sources). Formulations using these pre-mixed materials that approximate the recommended program are presented in Table 3. In Table 3, the amount of pre-mixed material was chosen to provide adequate P since the pre-mixed material is the only source of P. The pre-mixed materials contain large amounts of K making it difficult to achieve the desired K and Ca concentrations. This could cause an early problem with BER when there is excess K coming from the A stock and a low Ca concentration in the well water (below 50 ppm) because K can interfere with Ca uptake by the root. This problem is common to all pre-mixed formulae. More Ca can be supplied from calcium chloride but it would be better to have lower K concentrations. A related problem is that some of the pre-mixed formulae have too much N in the formulation to allow for providing adequate Ca by adding calcium nitrate. An option to increase the Ca in the solution is to supplement the calcium nitrate stock with calcium chloride. Each pound of calcium chloride (36% Ca) in 30 gallons of stock solution results in a 14 ppm increase in Ca in the final nutrient solution delivered to the plants. The pre-mixed materials come fairly close to providing the desired concentrations of micronutrients, although some are higher than needed in Florida.

Formulation Recipe Method

Information on formulating fertilizer solutions from individual ingredients is presented in Tables 4 and 5. Four formulae are presented to provide options for formulating nutrient solutions depending on grower preference. Formula 1 uses phosphoric acid to provide P and to simultaneously partially acidify the nutrient solution. Additional acidification might be required for some well water and this can be accomplished with sulfuric acid. This formula also uses potassium chloride to provide K. There is no problem with using potassium chloride as a partial source of K. It provides K in the same form as potassium nitrate and potassium chloride is less expensive. The chloride ion is not toxic to plants in these amounts

provided and some research shows that it contributes to fewer fruits with soft rot. Only greenhouse soluble-grade potassium chloride should be used. Formula 1 also uses individual chemicals to provide the micronutrients.

Formula 2 is a variation of formula 1; however, formula 2 uses a pre-mixed micronutrient package, S.T.E.M. (soluble trace element mixture), to supply most of the micronutrients. However, S.T.E.M. does not, alone, provide enough B, Fe, or Mo, so these are supplemented from individual ingredients. Nickel is needed by plants in such a minuscule amount that its requirement is typically met as a contaminant in nutrient sources and has never been observed deficient in hydroponic crops in Florida.

Formula 3 uses monopotassium phosphate to provide the P and some K. Monopotassium phosphate is the most common source of P in the commercial pre-mixed materials. Acidification of the nutrient solution should be accomplished by another acid such as sulfuric acid. Formula 3 also uses potassium chloride and provides the micronutrients from individual ingredients.

Formula 4 uses potassium nitrate to supply all of the K. One potential problem in this formula is that large amounts of N are also supplied with the potassium nitrate and this restricts the amounts of calcium nitrate that can be added. Reducing the calcium nitrate too far might lead to BER unless the well water is supplying some Ca. Supplying some of the K from potassium chloride will allow room for more calcium nitrate.

Products for the formula method are easily obtainable in Florida and this method should result in considerable financial savings, especially for large growers. There is a small amount of extra effort involved in the formula method due to the extra measuring. The resulting higher degree of control over the nutrient supply to the crop should more than pay back any increased effort.

Water-Fertilization Relationship

The nutrient solution formulations presented in this publication were designed for production systems using hydroponic approaches to tomato culture. The media discussed in this publication (perlite, rockwool, or NFT) require frequent irrigations during the day, ranging from 10 to 20 cycles per day, depending on the weather and greenhouse environment, in order to maintain about 20% solution leach. It is impossible to have an exact formulation that will work for every production system under any environmental conditions. For example, when using a media of high water-holding capacity, fewer irrigation cycles will be needed during the day. In this situation, the nutrient concentrations in the irrigation water might need to be greater than those presented in this publication so that adequate nutrition is supplied. A general rule-ofthumb is that nutrient concentrations need to be greater in

production systems requiring fewer irrigations, compared to systems requiring more frequent irrigations.	

Table 1. Fertilizer recommendations for hydroponic (perlite, rockwool, and NFT) tomatoes in Florida.

			Stage of growth				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Nutrient	Transplant to	1 st cluster to	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to		
	1 st cluster	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination		
	Final delivered nutrient solution concentration (ppm) ^z						
N	70	80	100	120	150		
Р	50	50	50	50	50		
K	120	120	150	150	200		
Ca	150	150	150	150	150		
Mg	40	40	40	50	50		
S	50	50	50	60	60		
Fe	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8		
Cu	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		
Mn	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8		
Zn	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		
В	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7		
Мо	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05		

NOTE: Ca, Mg, and S concentrations may vary depending on Ca and Mg concentration in well water and amount of sulfuric acid used for acidification.

Table 2. Pre-mixed and individual-salt fertilizer materials for use in hydroponic nutrient solution formulations.

	Selected Pre-mixed Materials ^z						
Nutrient	Pre-mix 1	Pre-mix 2	Pre-mix 3	Pre-mix 4			
	4-18-38	3-15-27	5-11-26	7-17-37			
	%	%	%	%			
N	4	3	5	7			
P ₂ O ₅ (P)	18 (7.7)	15 (6.5)	11 (4.7)	17 (7.3)			
K ₂ O (K)	38 (32)	27 (22)	26 (22)	37 (31)			
Mg	0	5.32	0	0			
Fe	0.4	0.45	0.31	0.40			
Mn	0.2	0.057	0.05	0.10			
Zn	0.05	0.034	0.016	0.02			
Cu	0.05	0.011	0.016	0.01			
В	0.20	0.170	0.05	0.18			
Мо	0.01	0.011	0.01	0.01			
Individual Ingredier	nts ^y	ı	ı	1			
Ammonium nitrate (N	33.5% N						
Calcium nitrate liquid	(7-0-0-11) 12.1 lb/gal (C	(NO ₃) ₂)		7% N, 11% Ca			

^z1ppm = 1mg/liter

Selected Pre-mixed Materials ^z						
Nutrient	Pre-mix 1	Pre-mix 2	Pre-mix 3	Pre-mix 4		
	4-18-38	3-15-27	5-11-26	7-17-37		
	%	%	%	%		
Calcium nitrate (Ca(NC	D ₃) ₂)-dry			15% N, 19% Ca		
Calcium chloride (CaCl	12)			36% Ca		
Potassium nitrate (KN	O ₃)			13% N, 36.5 K		
Monopotassium phos	phate (KH ₂ PO ₄)			23% P, 28% K		
Phosphoric acid (H₃PC	0 ₄) 13 lb/gal			23% P		
Potassium chloride (Ko	Cl) - greenhouse			51% K		
Magnesium sulfate (M	lgSO ₄)			10% Mg, 14% S		
Solubor				20.5% B		
Copper sulfate (CuSO ₄	.)			25% Cu		
Zinc sulfate (ZnSO ₄)				36% Zn		
Iron, Fe 330 chelated in	ron, etc.			10% Fe		
Manganous sulfate (M	InSO ₄)			28% Mn		
Sodium molybdate (Na	a ₂ (Mo) ₄) (liquid), (11.4 l	b/gal)		17% Mo		
Sodium molybdate (dr	ry) Na ₂ (MoO ₄)			39.6 % Mo		
Soluble Trace Element	Mixture (S.T.E.M.)			1.35% B		
				7.5% Fe		
				8.0% Mn		
				0.04% Mo		
				4.5% Zn		
				3.2 % Cu		

Convert K₂O to K by multiplying by 0.83. Convert P₂O₅ to P by multiplying by 0.44.

Table 3. Examples of formulations using pre-mixed commercial materials.

	Stage of growth							
	1 2 3 4 5							
	Transplant to 1st	1 st cluster to	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to			
	cluster set	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination			
	Pre-mix 1 (4-18-38)							
	1	2	3	4	5			
A Stock	16 lb Pre-mix 1	16 lb Pre-mix 1	16 lb Pre-mix 1	16 lb Pre-mix 1	16 lb Pre-mix 1			
	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄			
B Stock	7.5 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	9.5 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	12.0 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	16.5 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	20.5 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂			

^zMention of a trade name does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation.

^yExact nutrient concentration of the fertilizer material may vary depending on commercial source.

	Stage of growth							
	1	2	3	4	5			
	Transplant to 1st	1 st cluster to 2 ^r	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to			
	cluster set	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination			
		F	inal concentrations	(ppm)				
N	70	82	100	124	148			
P	49	49	49	49	49			
K	200	200	200	200	200			
Ca	56	71	94	124	154			
Mg	40	40	40	48	48			
S	50	50	50	60	60			
Fe	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5			
Cu	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3			
Mn	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
Zn	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3			
В	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
Мо	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06			
			Pre-mix 2 (3-15-2					
A Stock	20 lb Pre-mix 2	20 lb Pre-mix 2	20 lb Pre-mix 2	20 lb Pre-mix 2	20 lb Pre-mix 2			
A Stock	20 10 11 6-11112 2	20 10 1 16-1111. 2	20 10 1 16-1111 2	2 lb MgSO ₄	2 lb MgSO ₄			
B Stock	7.5 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	9.2 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	12. 4 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	15.7 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	19 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂			
D Stock	7.5 lb Ca(NO3)2	9.2 lb Ca(NO3)2	12. 4 lb Ca(NO3)2	13.7 lb Ca(1\O3)2	2 lb KNO ₃			
	Final concentrations (ppm)							
		Filla	r concentrations (p	μπ				
N	70	80	100	120	150			
Р	51	51	51	51	51			
K	178	178	178	178	208			
Ca	57	69	93	118	143			
Mg	42	42	42	50	50			
S	(est.) 50	50	50	60	60			
Fe	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6			
Cu	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09			
Mn	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45			
Zn	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27			
В	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35			
Мо	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09			
			Pre-mix 3 (5-11-26)-		-			

	Stage of growth						
	1	2	3	4	5		
	Transplant to 1st	1 st cluster to	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to		
	cluster set	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination		
A Stock	27 lb Pre-mix 3	27 lb Pre-mix 3	27 lb Pre-mix 3	27 lb Pre-mix 3	27 lb Pre-mix 3		
	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄		
B Stock	2.8 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	4.4 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	7.7 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	11 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	16 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂		
		Fina	al concentrations (p	pm)			
N	70	80	100	120	150		
Р	51	51	51	51	51		
K	232	232	232	232	232		
Ca	21	33	58	83	120		
Mg	40	40	40	50	50		
S	50	50	50	60	60		
Fe	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3		
Cu	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17		
Mn	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55		
Zn	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17		
В	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55		
Мо	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11		
	Pre-mix 4 (7-17-37)						
	1	2	3	4	5		
A Stock	17 lb Pre-mix 4	17 lb Pre-mix 4	17 lb Pre-mix 4	17 lb Pre-mix 4	17 lb Pre-mix 4		
A Stock	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄		
B Stock	4 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	6 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	9 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	12 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂	17 lb Ca(NO ₃) ₂		
			nal concentrations				
N	71	83	101	119	149		
P	49	49	49	49	49		
K	208	208	208	208	208		
Ca	30	45	67	89	127		
Mg	40	40	40	48	48		
S	50	50	50	60	60		
Fe	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7		
Cu	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07		
Mn	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67		
Zn	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14		
В	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		
Мо	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07		

Stage of growth					
1	2	3	4	5	
Transplant to 1st	1 st cluster to	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to	
cluster set	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination	

NOTE: Calculations in above table are for amount of fertilizer material in 30-gal stock tanks and then for a 1:100 dilution (1 gal each stock in 100 gals final nutrient solution). Fertilizer amounts placed in each stock tank will need to be doubled if fertilizer proportioner (1:100) pumps are installed in parallel in same incoming water line.

Ca, Mg, and S values will vary upwards depending on the amount of Ca and Mg coming from the water source, and the amount of S coming from the sulfuric acid used for acidification.

Table 4. The following list provides the ppm of a specific nutrient provided by a specified amount of a particular fertilizer material for a 30-gal stock tank and a final dilution of 1:100.

Amount of material	Material	ppm nutrient provided
1 lb	Potassium nitrate	5 ppm N
		14.5 ppm K
1 lb	Ammonium nitrate	13.3 ppm N
1 lb	Potassium chloride	20.3 ppm K
1 lb	Magnesium sulfate	4 ppm Mg
		5.6 ppm S
1 pint	Liquid calcium nitrate	4.2 ppm N
		6.6 ppm Ca
1 lb	Dry calcium nitrate	6.1 ppm N
		7.5 ppm Ca
1 lb	Calcium chloride	14.3 ppm Ca
1 quart	Phosphoric acid	30 ppm P
1 gram ^z	Solubor	0.018 ppm B
0.5 lb	Fe 330 chelated iron	2.0 ppm Fe
100 grams	S.T.E.M.	0.12 ppm B
		0.28 ppm Cu
		0.66 ppm Fe
		0.70 ppm Mn
		0.0035 ppm Mo
		0.39 ppm Zn
1 gram	Copper sulfate	0.021 ppm Cu
1 gram	Manganese sulfate	0.024 ppm Mn
1 gram	Zinc sulfate	0.03 ppm Zn
1 ml	Liquid sodium molybdate	0.02 ppm Mo
1 gram	Dry sodium molybdate	0.03 ppm Mo
1 lb	Monopotassium Phosphate	9 ppm P
		11 ppm K

^zA gram scale or laboratory pipette will be needed to measure amounts of micronutrients or to calibrate a measuring spoon set to provide the correct amount of micronutrient materials. The following are some approximate equivalences for measuring dry fertilizer materials.

	Approximate weight (grams) for several measuring utensils					
Fertilizer	1 teaspoon (level)	1 tablespoon (level)	1 dry "ounce" in measuring cup			
Sequestrene Fe 330	4	14	20			
Ammonium molybdate	7	-	-			
Sodium molybdate	4	-	-			
Solubor	2	6	10			
S.T.E.M.	5	14	25			
Manganese sulfate	6	16	30			
Zinc sulfate	5	13	26			
Copper sulfate	7	20	35			

Table 5. Several examples of tomato nutrient solution formulations using the "formula method" with individual ingredients.

	Stage of growth						
	1	2	3	4	5		
	Transplant to 1 st	1 st cluster to	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to		
	cluster	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination		
		F	ORMULA 1		1		
A Stock	3.3 pts						
	Phos. acid						
	6 lb KCl						
	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄		
			2 lb KNO₃	2 lb KNO₃	6 lb KNO₃		
					1 lb NH ₄ NO ₃		
	10 gr CuSO ₄						
	35 gr MnSO ₄						
	10 gr ZnSO ₄						
	40 gr Solubor						
	3 ml Na Moly						
B Stock	2.1 gal	2.4 gal	2.7 gal	3.3 gal	3.3 gal		
	Ca(NO ₃) ₂						
	or 11.5 lb dry	or 13.1 lb dry	or 14.8 lb dry	or 18.0 lb dry	or 18.0 lb dry		
	Ca(NO ₃) ₂						
	0.7 lb Fe 330						
	Final concentrations (ppm)						
N	70	80	100	120	153		
P	50	50	50	50	50		

	Stage of growth						
	1	2	3	4	5		
	Transplant to 1st	1st cluster to	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to		
	cluster	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination		
K	119	119	148	148	206		
Ca	111 (86) ^z	127 (98)	143 (111)	174 (135)	174 (135)		
Mg	40	40	40	48	48		
S	56	56	56	66	66		
Fe	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8		
Cu	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		
Mn	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8		
Zn	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		
В	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7		
Мо	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06		
		F(DRMULA 2				
A Stock	3.3 pts						
	Phos. acid						
	6 lb KCl						
	10 lb. MgSO ₄	10 lb. MgSO ₄	10 lb. MgSO ₄	12 lb. MgSO ₄	12 lb. MgSO ₄		
			2 lb. KNO ₃	2 lb. KNO₃	6 lb. KNO₃		
					1 lb. NH ₄ NO ₃		
	100 gr. S.T.E.M.						
	40 gr. Solubor						
	3 ml Na Moly						
B Stock	2.1 gal	2.4 gal	2.7 gal	3.3 gal	3.3 gal		
	Ca (NO ₃) ₂						
	or 11.5 lb dry	or 13.1 lb dry	or 14.8 lb dry	or 18.0 lb dry	or 18.0 lb dry		
	Ca (NO ₃) ₂						
	0.5 lb Fe 330						
		Fina	l concentrations (p	pm)			
N	70	80	100	120	150		
Р	50	50	50	50	50		
K	119	119	148	148	206		
Ca	111 (86) ^z	127 (98)	143 (111)	174 (135)	174 (135)		
Mg	40	40	40	48	48		
S	56	56	56	66	66		
Fe	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7		
Cu	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28		
Mn	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7		

	Stage of growth								
	1	2	3	4	5				
	Transplant to 1st	1 st cluster to	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to				
	cluster	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination				
Zn	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39				
В	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84				
Мо	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06				
	FORMULA 3								
A Stock	5.5 lb KH ₂ PO ₄								
	4 lb KNO₃	4 lb KNO₃	5 lb KNO₃	8 lb KNO₃	8 lb KNO₃				
	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄				
			1 lb KCl	1 lb KCl	1 lb KCl				
					2 lb NH ₄ NO ₃				
	10 gr Cu SO ₄								
	35 gr Mn SO ₄								
	10 gr Zn SO ₄								
	40 gr Solubor								
	3 ml Na Moly								
B Stock	1.5 gal	1.8 gal	2.2 gal	2.4 gal	2.5 gal				
	Ca(NO ₃) ₂								
	or 8.2 lb dry	or 9.8 lb dry	or 12.3 lb dry	or 13.1 lb dry	or 13.7 lb dry				
	Ca(NO ₃) ₂								
	0.7 lb Fe 330								
	Final concentrations (ppm)								
N	70 80 100 120 150								
P	50	50	50	50	50				
K	119	119	153	153	196				
Ca	79 (61) ^z	94 (74)	118 (92)	126 (98)	131 (103)				
Mg	40	40	40	48	48				
S	56	56	56	66	66				
Fe	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8				
Cu	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2				
Mn	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8				
Zn	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3				
В	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7				
Мо	0.06	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.06				
IVIU		FO			0.00				
A Stock	3.3 pts								
A SLUCK	Phos. acid								
	i iios. aciu	i iios, aciu	i ilos. aciu	i iios. aciu	i iios, aciu				

	Stage of growth							
	1	2	3	4	5			
	Transplant to 1st	1 st cluster to	2 nd cluster to	3 rd cluster to	5 th cluster to			
	cluster	2 nd cluster	3 rd cluster	5 th cluster	termination			
	8.3 lb KNO ₃	8.3 lb KNO₃	10.3 lb KNO ₃	10.3 lb KNO ₃	13.8 lb KNO ₃			
	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	10 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄	12 lb MgSO ₄			
	100 gr S.T.E.M.							
	40 gr Solubor							
	3 ml Na Moly							
B Stock	6.7 pt	9 pt	11.4 pt	2 gal	2.4 gal			
	Ca(NO ₃) ₂							
	or 4.6 lb dry	or 6.2 lb dry	or 7.9 lb dry	or 11.1 lb dry	or 13.3 lb dry			
	Ca(NO ₃) ₂							
	0.7 lb Fe 330							
	Final concentrations (ppm)							
N	70	80	100	120	150			
Р	50	50	50	50	50			
K	120	120	150	150	200			
Ca	44 (35) ^z	59 (47)	75 (59)	105 (83)	127 (100)			
Mg	40	40	40	48	48			
S	56	56	56	67	67			
Fe	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7			
Cu	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28			
Mn	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			
Zn	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39			
В	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84			
Мо	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06			

 $^{^{\}rm z}$ Numbers in parentheses are the ppm Ca when dry Ca(NO₃)₂ is used instead of liquid.

NOTE: Calculations in above table are for amount of fertilizer material in 30-gal stock tanks and then for a 1:100 (1 gal each stock in 100 gals final nutrient solution). Fertilizer amounts placed in each stock tank will need be doubled if proportioner (1:100) pumps are installed in parallel in same incoming water line.

Ca, Mg, and S values will vary upwards depending on the amount of Ca and Mg coming from the water source, and the amount of S coming from the sulfuric acid used for acidification.

¹This document is HS796, one of a series of the Department of Horticultural Sciences, UF/IFAS Extension. Original publication date October 1990 as SSVEC44. Revised April 2001, September 2018, and December 2024. Visit the EDIS website at https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu for the currently supported version of this publication.

² George J. Hochmuth, professor emeritus, UF/IFAS Department of Soil, Water, and Ecosystem Sciences; Robert C. Hochmuth, regional specialized Extension agent IV – northeast, UF/IFAS North Florida Research and Education Center Suwannee Valley, Live Oak, FL; UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611.

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. For more information on obtaining other UF/IFAS Extension publications, contact your county's UF/IFAS Extension office. U.S. Department of Agriculture, UF/IFAS Extension Service, University of Florida, IFAS, Florida A & M University Cooperative Extension Program, and Boards of County Commissioners Cooperating. Andra Johnson, dean for UF/IFAS Extension.