

# Snap Bean Soil Fertility Program in Miami-Dade County<sup>1</sup>

Monica Ozores-Hampton, Qiang Zhu, Yuncong Li and Guodong Liu<sup>2</sup>

## Introduction

Vegetable production in Miami-Dade County, FL, provides a significant contribution to local and state economies. The total vegetable acreage in 2012 was 29,703 acres (USDA 2012). The market value of vegetable product was \$87 million in 2017 ([https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Online\\_Resources/County\\_Profiles/Florida/cp12086.pdf](https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Online_Resources/County_Profiles/Florida/cp12086.pdf)). Snap bean with pole bean, sweet corn, tomato, boniato (sweet potato), and squash are the major vegetables grown in this area (Table 1). In 2017, snap bean comprised the largest portion of the total acreage. This document explores weather conditions, basic soil properties, and fertilizer recommendations for snap bean in Miami-Dade County.

## Weather

The ten-year average (January 2008–December 2017) air and soil temperatures were 73.9°F and 76.9°F, respectively, and average annual rainfall in Miami-Dade County, FL, was 54.3 inches (Table 2). The county has a subtropical climate: hot and wet summers from May to November and cool and dry winters from December to April. Frosts are recorded almost every winter and flooding occasionally occurs during the summer.

## Soil

In Miami-Dade County, there are two types of calcareous soils: rocky or gravelly soils and marl soils (Li 2013). Both soils have porous limestone bedrock and a pH of 7.4 to 8.4. The rocky soils are well drained and contain less than 2% organic matter content (Li 2013). Marl soils form a 2- to 72-inch layer above the bedrock, contain 10–30% organic carbon, and can be prone to flooding (Li 2013). The 1996 USDA soil survey classified the typical rocky soil as Krome (loamy-skeletal, carbonatic, hypothermic Lithic Udorthents) and the typical marl soil as Biscayne (loamy, carbonatic, hyperthermic, shallow Typic Fluvaquents).

The high soil pH may result in considerable loss of nitrogen (N) through volatilization of ammonia. In these soils, the availability of phosphorus (P), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), and manganese (Mn) is relatively low because of potential precipitation (Figures 1 and 2). The high calcium (Ca) concentration can reduce magnesium (Mg) uptake due to competition, and the high concentration of bicarbonates can prevent Fe uptake by the crop (Li 2013).

1. This document is HS1261, one of a series of the Horticultural Sciences Department, UF/IFAS Extension. Original publication date May 2015. Revised March 2018 and August 2021. Visit the EDIS website at <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu> for the currently supported version of this publication.
2. Monica Ozores-Hampton, former associate professor, Horticultural Sciences Department; Qiang Zhu, former post-doctoral associate, Horticultural Sciences Department, UF/IFAS Southwest Florida Research and Education Center; and Yuncong Li, professor, Department of Soil and Water Sciences, Guodong Liu, associate professor, Horticultural Sciences Department, UF/IFAS Tropical REC; UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611.

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. For more information on obtaining other UF/IFAS Extension publications, contact your county's UF/IFAS Extension office. U.S. Department of Agriculture, UF/IFAS Extension Service, University of Florida, IFAS, Florida A & M University Cooperative Extension Program, and Boards of County Commissioners Cooperating. Nick T. Place, dean for UF/IFAS Extension.

How soil pH affects availability of plant nutrients.




Figure 1. Nutrient availabilities with different soil pH values. The width of the band illustrates the approximate availability of the specific nutrient. The wider the band, the more available the nutrient is, and the narrower the band, the less available the nutrient is for crop growth.

Credits: <https://www.agrobtest.com.au/news/How-Soil-pH-affects-availability-of-plant-nutrients-7.htm>




Figure 2. The relationship between soil pH and nutrient bioavailability. Credits: <https://www.agric.wa.gov.au/soil-acidity/effects-soil-acidity?page=0%2C1>

## Snap Bean Fertilizer Recommendations

Snap bean is a legume, so it can fix N, but in most soils (especially where soil organic matter is less than 3.0%), snap bean requires N fertilizers to maximize yields (Slaton, Golden, DeLong, and Massey 2007). For snap bean, the

University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (UF/IFAS) recommends 100 lb/acre N with a split application of 50% applied at planting and 50% banded on the side of the bed at first flower bud emergence (Simonne et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2021). Recent studies of snap beans in Homestead (southeast Miami-Dade County) found a range of optimum N rates between 70 to 100 lb/acre, which is within the UF/IFAS recommendations (Hochmuth and Hanlon 2016).

UF/IFAS phosphorous (P) recommendations in sandy soils (not applicable to Miami-Dade) are 80 to between 100 and 120 lb/acre  $P_2O_5$  when Mehlich-3 (M-3) soil-extracted P concentrations are medium (26–45 ppm) to low (= 25 ppm), respectively (Liu et al. 2017). However, due to the lack of an official extractant for rocky or gravelly and marl soils, P and potassium (K) recommendations cannot be provided for vegetable production in Miami-Dade County. Efforts are still ongoing to identify an extractant for calcareous soils in Florida. Currently, UF/IFAS Extension Soil Testing Laboratory (ESTL) extracts P from calcareous soils using ammonium bicarbonate-diethylene triamine-pentaacetic acid (AB-DTPA) with a critical value of 10 ppm. Previous field studies reported that most soils from vegetable fields in Miami-Dade County had AB-DTPA extractable P between 56 and 113 ppm and therefore no P was needed to grow the crop (Hochmuth and Hanlon 2016).

Potassium rates recommended by UF/IFAS in sandy soils (not applicable to Miami-Dade) are 80 to between 100 and 120 lb/acre  $K_2O$  for soils with medium (36–60 ppm) and low (= 35 ppm) M-3 soil-extracted K concentrations, respectively (Liu et al. 2017). Hochmuth and Hanlon (2016) suggested no K application was required for snap beans in Miami-Dade County when soil K concentrations determined by AB-DTPA extractant ranged from 71 to 281 ppm. This recommendation is not implemented by UF/IFAS-ESTL.

Since there is no recommended soil test extractant for Miami-Dade county soils, there are no official recommendations for applying P or K on vegetables. In the absence of a standard UF/IFAS recommendation for snap beans in Miami-Dade County, the typical bean fertilizer program used by growers in Miami-Dade is to broadcast 90%–100% of the P and band up to half the N and K at planting using preplant fertilizers such as 4-4-8, 5-5-8, 6-3-12, 6-12-12, or similar formulas. Growers use liquid or dry N and K fertilizer for side-dressing. Side-dressing with N and minors (Zn and Mn) is generally performed between the time the first true leaf has fully expanded and budbreak. Many

growers will apply a low rate of P (such as 10 lb of a liquid 10-52-10) between budbreak and the pin bean stage and will also side-dress with K between the pin bean stage and harvest. Bean varieties bred under low N conditions tend to develop more problems with postharvest breakdown if levels of N are too high.

If a cover crop or organic soil amendment has been applied, then the amount of inorganic fertilizer can potentially be reduced by the amount of nutrients contributed by the organic matter content (Ozores-Hampton 2012). Foliar application of micronutrients in snap beans is recommended only if deficiency symptoms appear during the crop cycle (Zhang et al. 2017). The deficient values and adequate ranges of macronutrients and micronutrients for snap bean leaf are listed in Table 3.

## References

- Florida Automated Weather Network (FAWN). 2018. "Report Generator." <http://fawn.ifas.ufl.edu/data/reports> (Accessed March 1, 2018)
- Hochmuth, G., D. Maynard, C. Vavrina, E. Hanlon, and E. Simonne. 2015. *Plant Tissue Analysis and Interpretation for Vegetable Crops in Florida*. HS 964. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/ep081> (Accessed August 4, 2021)
- Hochmuth, G., and E. Hanlon. 2016. *A Summary of N, P, and K Research with Snap Bean in Florida*. SL 331. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/cv234> (Accessed August 4, 2021)
- Liu, G., E. H. Simonne, K. T. Morgan, G. J. Hochmuth, S. Agehara, and R. Mylavarapu. 2021. *Fertilizer Management for Vegetable Production in Florida*. CV 296. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/cv296> (Accessed August 4, 2021)
- Ozores-Hampton, M. 2012. "Developing a Vegetable Fertility Program Using Organic Amendments and Inorganic Fertilizers." 22: 743–750.
- Ozores-Hampton, M., E. J. McAvoy, M. Lamberts, and D. Sui. 2010. "A Survey of the Effectiveness of Current Methods Used for the Freeze Protection of Vegetables in South Florida." 123: 128–133.
- Simonne, E., G. Liu, A. Gazula, B. Hochmuth, L. Landrum, D. Gast, L. L. Davis, et al. 2012. "Yield Response of Overhead Irrigated Snap Bean to Nitrogen Rates." 125: 174–181.
- Slaton, N. A., B. R. Golden, R. E. DeLong, and C. G. Massey. 2007. "Green Bean Yield as Affected by Nitrogen Fertilization Strategy." In , edited by N. A. Stalton. Fayetteville, AR: Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station.
- USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2012. Census of Agriculture-County Data. [https://www.agcensus.usda.gov/Publications/2012/Full\\_Report/Volume\\_1,\\_Chapter\\_2\\_County\\_Level/Florida/st12\\_2\\_029\\_029.pdf](https://www.agcensus.usda.gov/Publications/2012/Full_Report/Volume_1,_Chapter_2_County_Level/Florida/st12_2_029_029.pdf) (Accessed August 4, 2021)
- Zhang, S., D. Seal, M. Ozores-Hampton, M. Lamberts, Y. C. Li, W. Klassen, and T. Olczyk. 2017. *Snapbean Production in Miami-Dade County, Florida*. HS853. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/tr005> (Accessed August 4, 2021)

Table 1. Vegetable acreages of Miami-Dade County, FL, in 2012<sup>2</sup>

Ranking	Crop	Acreage
1	Snap bean and pole beans	11,126
2	Sweet corn	5,252
3	Round, grape, and cherry tomato	3,809
4	Boniato (sweet potato)	3,000 (in 2010)
5	All squash	2,159
Total	-	29,703

<sup>2</sup>Data from Ozores-Hampton, McAvoy, Lamberts, and Sui (2010) and USDA (2012).

Table 2. Monthly minimum (Min.), average (Avg.), and maximum (Max.) air and soil temperature and rainfall from January 2008 to December 2017 in Miami-Dade County, FL<sup>2</sup>

Month	Air Temperature (°F)			Soil Temperature (°F)			Rainfall
	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Total inches
January	37.5	64.7	84.6	51.8	67.1	77.2	1.8
February	41.1	66.7	86.0	54.7	69.3	79.7	1.8
March	44.6	69.3	88.4	59.8	73.1	84.4	2.0
April	49.5	73.9	90.5	64.7	78.3	89.8	3.3
May	59.4	77.2	93.7	71.8	81.5	92.4	5.1
June	67.7	79.8	94.5	74.0	82.6	93.4	7.1
July	64.1	80.5	94.3	69.0	83.7	94.4	6.6
August	70.2	80.9	94.8	76.7	83.5	94.1	9.0
September	68.6	79.5	93.3	74.9	81.6	90.8	9.0
October	55.4	76.2	91.1	67.4	78.5	87.7	4.3
November	48.8	70.7	87.3	63.4	73.5	82.2	2.0
December	44.3	68.1	85.2	59.8	70.2	78.4	2.3

<sup>2</sup>Data from Homestead weather station, Florida Automated Weather Network (FAWN) (2018).

Table 3. Deficiency values and adequacy ranges of nutrients for snap bean leaf (most recently- matured whole trifoliate leaf plus petiole) at three sampling dates<sup>2</sup>

Nutrients	Before bloom			First bloom		Full bloom	
	Deficiency	Adequacy range	Deficiency	Adequacy range	Deficiency	Adequacy range	
Macronutrients (%)	N <sup>y</sup>	< 3.0	3.0–4.0	< 3.0	3.0–4.0	< 2.5	2.5–4.0
	P	0.25	0.25–0.45	0.3	0.3–0.5	0.2	0.2–0.4
	K	2.0	2.0–3.0	2.0	2.0–3.0	1.5	1.5–2.5
	Ca	0.8	0.8–1.5	0.8	0.8–1.5	0.8	0.8–1.5
	Mg	0.25	0.25–0.45	0.25	0.25–0.45	0.25	0.25–0.45
	S	0.2	0.2–0.4	0.2	0.2–0.4	0.2	0.2–0.4
Micronutrients (ppm)	Fe	< 25	25–200	< 25	25–200	< 25	25–200
	Mn	20	20–100	20	20–100	20	20–100
	Zn	20	20–40	20	20–40	20	20–40
	B	15	15–40	15	15–40	15	15–40
	Cu	5	5–10	5	5–10	5	5–10
	Mo	0.4	0.4–0.8	0.4	0.4–0.8	0.4	0.4–0.8

<sup>2</sup>Data from Hochmuth, Maynard, Vavrina, Hanlon, and Simonne (2015).

<sup>y</sup>N=nitrogen, P=phosphorus, K=potassium, Ca=calcium, Mg=magnesium, S=sulfur, Fe= iron, Mn=manganese, Zn=zinc, B=boron, Cu=copper, Mo=molybdenum.