

A Colonial Tentweb Orbweaver scientific name: *Cyrtophora citricola* (Arachnida: Araneae: Araneidae)¹

G. B. Edwards²

The Featured Creatures collection provides in-depth profiles of insects, nematodes, arachnids and other organisms relevant to Florida. These profiles are intended for the use of interested laypersons with some knowledge of biology as well as academic audiences.

Introduction

Few species of spiders can be considered truly social, but more species, particularly web-building spiders, live in close proximity to one another, potentially gaining benefits by this association. Among these benefits are sharing of frame threads (Kullman 1959), improved defense against predators and parasites (Cangialosi 1990), improved prey capture efficiency (Rypstra 1979, Uetz 1989), and greater egg production (Smith 1983).

Of the three main types of aggregative behaviors exhibited by spiders, the one with the least social interaction involves individuals making and maintaining their own webs within a colonial matrix of interconnected webs (Buskirk 1975). One such species, which has become highly successful through a lifestyle of colonial aggregation, is the orbweaver *Cyrtophora citricola* Forskål. This species is known as a tentweb spider in Africa (Dippenaar-Schoeman and Jocqué 1997). In 2000, this species was found in southern Florida

in Miami-Dade County, north of Homestead. The first published report (Halbert 2000) listed the first two records. Initial spread of this species was reported by Mannion et al. (2002).

Distribution

Cyrtophora citricola is widespread in subtropical and tropical areas of Asia, Africa, Australia, and in the warm coastal Mediterranean areas of Europe (Blanke 1972, Leborgne et al. 1998). It was found in Colombia in 1996 (Levi 1997, Pulido 2002), the Dominican Republic in 1999 (Alayón 2001), Florida in 2000, and Cuba in 2003 (Alayón 2003). Survey work was performed August 2000, April 2001, and July 2002 to document the spread of the species in Florida. The survey was focused on canal bridges because *Cyrtophora citricola* has a tendency to make its webs on the guardrails of canal bridges (Figure 6). The survey work in 2000 established a preliminary periphery of infestation in a narrow band from west of Homestead to northeast of Homestead.

To date, the known distribution of *Cyrtophora citricola* in Florida is a parallelogram-shaped area from east of the Everglades National Park to the east coast of Florida, bounded on the south by a latitudinal line extending

1. This document is EENY-535 (originally published as DPI Entomology Circular 411), one of a series of the Entomology and Nematology Department, UF/IFAS Extension. Original publication date October 2012. Revised April 2013, June 2016, and July 2022 Visit the EDIS website at <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu> for the currently supported version of this publication. This document is also available on the Featured Creatures website at <http://entnemdept.ifas.ufl.edu/creatures/>.

2. G. B. Edwards, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry.

through Homestead, and on the north by a similar line extending through Pinecrest and Coral Gables.

Identification

Adult female spiders average just over 10 mm in body length and are fairly robust. Males are very small, averaging about 3 mm in length (Levi 1997). Genitalic details are used to distinguish this species from congeners in other parts of the world. Females and males are typically medium brown in color, but may have a darker foliate mark on the dorsum of the abdomen. Both can change the background color of the abdomen from very pale to very dark (Blanke 1972). Florida males often appear black, whereas females vary considerably in overall appearance. Females (Figures 1 and 2), despite their larger size, are very cryptic in color and shape, and sometimes hard to see in the web. They resemble a piece of dead leaf, and may sometimes hide on dead leaves that have fallen into the web. A characteristic of the species that will distinguish it from all other genera in Florida, except *Allocyclosa* (which has a much smaller female (Levi 1999)), is the horizontally oriented bifurcation at the posterior of the abdomen.



Figure 1. *Cyrtophora citricola* female in cryptic resting pose, showing details of color pattern.
Credits: Ian McGuire, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.



Figure 2. Female *Cyrtophora citricola* in web-monitoring pose.
Credits: Ian McGuire, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

Biology

Some extensive studies have been conducted on the biology of *Cyrtophora citricola*, including those from Andalusia (Blanke 1972), Sardinia (Kullman 1958, 1959), Sicily (Leborgne et al. 1998), Gabon (Rypstra 1979), and Colombia (Suárez 1998). Blanke (1972) found that *Cyrtophora citricola* could not survive when temperatures fell below -1°C. This species is known to have a lifestyle that varies from existing as solitary individuals to occurring in large colonies of hundreds of individuals (Leborgne et al. 1998). A prerequisite for a colonial existence might be a certain amount of tolerance for conspecifics and is exhibited by *Cyrtophora citricola* when encountering other individuals on common threads. However, when the personal prey-capture web is invaded by another spider, *Cyrtophora* individuals will exhibit aggressive behavior (Kullman 1959, Lubin 1974).

These spiders build a horizontal orb web with a dense vertical barrier of silk strands above the orb and a less dense barrier below it. Individuals hang underneath the middle of their own orb web and catch insects that are intercepted by the upper barrier, from which they fall onto the orb. The webs are non-adhesive, so the silk acts only as a temporary restraining device. This is a less efficient web than those that have sticky spirals, so living in an aggregation of webs is advantageous by potentially increasing the number of prey that hit the web (Rypstra 1979, Uetz 1989). Leborgne et al. (1998) found that spiders that lived in colonies had smaller webs than those that were solitary, but they caught an equal amount and size of prey as solitary individuals; therefore it appeared that the colonial webs were indeed more efficient.

Eggsacs (Figure 3) have a flattened, elliptical shape, are about 12–20 mm in greatest diameter, and have a bluish or greenish tinge. They are laid in a long chain of up to 10 eggsacs above the web. The newest eggsacs are closest to the web. Eggsacs average 112–157 eggs depending on the year's productivity and lifestyle (colonial or solitary) of the mother (Leborgne et al. 1998). Colonies in Florida appear to form around a single female, with the young attaching their webs to the mother's web rather than dispersing. Colonies can be several square meters in area and cover entire trees (e.g., of *Citrus* spp.).



Figure 3. Female *Cyrtophora citricola* with two eggsacs.
Credits: Ian McGuire, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

Other spider species can be found associated with *Cyrtophora citricola* colonies. Some are other orbweaving spiders that use the framework and benefit from other advantages provided by these aggregations. In Florida, such species include *Mecynogea lemniscata* (Walckenaer), *Metepeira labyrinthea* (Hentz), and *Nephila clavipes* (L.). Several kleptoparasitic species of *Argyrodes* also have been found in these colonies. Elsewhere (Leborgne et al. 1998), *Cyrtophora citricola* colonies are victimized by *Argyrodes argyrodes* (Walckenaer) [*sub* *Argyrodes gibbosus* (Lucas)], which steal prey and eat unguarded *Cyrtophora* eggs, and by pholcid spiders (*Holocnemus pluchei* (Scopoli)), which use the colony communal network to attach their own webs, and attack juveniles and adults of *Cyrtophora citricola* (Blanck 1972, Leborgne et al. 1998). Leborgne et al. (1998) reported that another benefit for *Cyrtophora citricola* living in a colony was a lower number of kleptoparasitic

spiders per web in colonies vs. solitary webs, although Rypstra (1979) noted that larger colonies attracted more predators and kleptoparasites. When attacked, *Cyrtophora citricola* will drop several centimeters on a dragline and resume a cryptic pose, or drop to the ground where its color blends in with the soil and litter, or retreat to a sheltered area if available, where it will attempt to hide (e.g., behind a guard rail post).

Hosts

The following plants cannot be considered true hosts, as the spiders do not feed on them. However, these plants have been documented as being used for web attachment (Figures 4 and 5), therefore they are subject to potential damage by heavy spider populations. Florida hosts include akee, *Blighia sapida* K. Koenig; Australian brush-cherry, *Syzygium paniculatum* Gaertn.; balsam apple, *Clusia rosea* Jacq.; Barbados cherry, *Malpighia glabra* L.; beggarticks, *Bidens* sp.; gardenia, *Gardenia augusta* (L.) Merr.; grapefruit, *Citrus x paradisi* Macfad.; a hedge plant, *Eugenia coronata* Schumacher & Thonn.; lime, *Citrus aurantifolia* (Christm.) Swingle; mamey sapote, *Pouteria sapota* (Jacq.) H. E. Moore & Stearn; mango, *Mangifera indica* L.; orange, *Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck; pygmy date palm, *Phoenix roebelenii* O'Brien; sausage tree, *Kigelia africana* (Lam.) Benth.; and weeping fig, *Ficus benjamina* L. Hosts documented in Colombia (Pulido 2002) include acacia, *Acacia* sp.; almond, *Prunus dulcis* (Mill.) D. A. Webb; araucaria, *Araucaria* sp.; banana, *Musa acuminata* Colla; cacao, *Theobroma cacao* L.; cacho, *Clusia* sp.; cedro (Spanish cedar), *Cedrela odorata* L.; chiminango, *Pithecellobium dulce* (Roxb.) Benth.; eucalyptus, *Eucalyptus* sp.; ficus, *Ficus* sp.; fique, *Agave* sp.; guanábana, *Annona muricata* L.; guava, *Psidium guajava* L.; lemon, *Citrus limon* (L.) Burm. f.; madroño, *Garcinia madruno* (Kunth) B. Hammell.; mango, *Mangifera indica* L.; maracuyá (passion fruit), *Passiflora* sp.; matarraton, *Gliricidia sepium* (Jacq.) Kunth ex Walp.; orange, *Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck; plantain, *Musa x paradisiaca* L.; swinglea, *Swinglea glutinosa* (Blanco) Merr.; totumo (calabash), *Crescentia cujete* L.; veranera, *Bougainvillea* sp.; and yuca, *Manihot esculenta* Crantz. Coffee, *Coffea arabica* L. (Cárdenas-Murillo et al. 1997), also has been reported from Colombia as a substrate for *Cyrtophora citricola*. It is likely that any plant providing the appropriate substrate to support a web framework could be colonized.



Figure 4. Extensive covering of *Cyrtophora citricola* webbing on upper half of *Eugenia coronata*.

Credits: G. B. Edwards, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.



Figure 5. Colony of *Cyrtophora citricola* filling space between palm leaves.

Credits: G. B. Edwards, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.



Figure 6. Colony of *Cyrtophora citricola* on guardrail of canal bridge. Note the string of five eggsacs in the right middle of the picture, just above a horizontal web.

Credits: G. B. Edwards, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

Economic Importance

Possibly, *Cyrtophora citricola* is both beneficial and deleterious. Undoubtedly, the cover of webs on a plant would capture pest insects associated with that plant. However, multiple observations have been reported of leaf loss (Figure 7), terminal twig dieback (Figure 8), and sometimes plant death, allegedly due to asphyxiation of the plant, where heavy populations of this spider have become established (Levi 1997). It has been proposed that the extensive web cover absorbs the sun's radiation and raises the temperature, causing the leaves and young fruit to desiccate (Cárdenas-Murillo et al. 1997). This seems unlikely *per se*, as the white silk would seem to reflect sunlight rather than absorb it. A suggestion worth investigating is that the density of the webbing might restrict air flow over the leaves, with the same result of raising the temperature (Richard Weaver, personal communication 2003). Palms seem to be resistant to the damage caused to plants with small leaves.

Control

Mechanical control is recommended on a small scale (Pulido 2002). Chemical controls will depend on labeling for the type of plant and for spiders. Because of the amount of debris that accumulates in affected plants, chemical control is likely to be unreliable due to incomplete coverage. While this might be overcome by high pressure sprayers, pressure spraying using only water was found to facilitate dispersal of the spiders (Pulido 2002).



Figure 7. Dead leaves accumulated in *Cyrtophora citricola* webbing on *Eugenia coronata*.

Credits: G. B. Edwards, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.



Figure 8. Multiple dead twigs due to *Cyrtophora citricola* webbing on *Eugenia coronata*.

Credits: G. B. Edwards, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

Acknowledgements

I thank Julieta Brambila, José Diaz, Luz Lastra, and Dr. Richard Weaver, all Division of Plant Industry, and Dr. Catherine Mannion, UF/IFAS Tropical Research and Education Center, Homestead, for various types of assistance on this publication.

Selected References

Alayón GG. 2001. Presencia de *Cyrtophora citricola* (Forsk., 1775) (Araneae: Araneidae) en las Antillas. *Revista Ibérica de Arachnología* 4: 9–10.

Alayón GG. 2003. *Cyrtophora citricola* (Araneae: Araneidae), registro nuevo de araña para Cuba. *Cocuyo* 13: 14.

Blanke R. 1972. Field studies on the ecology and ethology of *Cyrtophora citricola* Araneidae in Andalusia. *Forma et Funcio* 5: 125–206.

Buskirk RE. 1975. Coloniality, activity patterns and feeding in a tropical orb-weaving spider. *Ecology* 56: 1314–1328.

Cangialosi KR. 1990. Social spider defense against kleptoparasitism. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 27: 49–54.

Cárdenas-Murillo R, Posada-Flórez FJ, Bustillo-Pardey AE. 1997. Daños causados por arañas en los cafetales. *Federación Nacional de Cafeteros de Colombia, Cenicafé Avances Técnicos* 242. 4 p.

Dippenaar-Schoeman AS, Jocqué R. 1997. African Spiders, an Identification Manual. Plant Protection Research Institute Handbook No. 9. Biosystematics Division, ARC-Plant Protection Research Institute, Pretoria, South Africa. 392 p.

Halbert SE. 2000. Arthropod Detection. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry, Tri-ology 39: 7.

Kullman E. 1958. Beobachtung des Netzbaues und Beiträge zur Biologie von *Cyrtophora citricola* Forskal (Araneae, Araneidae). *Zoologische Jahrbücher Abteilung für Systematik, Ökologie und Geographie der Tiere* 86: 181–216.

Kullman E. 1959. Beobachtungen an der Raumnetzspinne *Cyrtophora citricola* Forskal auf Sardinien (Araneae, Araneidae). *Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift* 6 III: 65–80.

Leborgne R, Cantarella T, Pasquet A. 1998. Colonial life versus solitary life in *Cyrtophora citricola* (Araneae, Araneidae). *Insectes Sociaux* 45: 125–134.

Levi HW. 1997. The American orb weavers of the genera *Mecynogea*, *Manogea*, *Kapogea*, and *Cyrtophora* (Araneae: Araneidae). *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology* 155: 215–255.

Levi HW. 1999. The Neotropical and Mexican orb weavers of the genera *Cyclosa* and *Allocyclosa* (Araneae: Araneidae). *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology* 155: 299–379.

Lubin YD. 1974. Adaptative advantages and the evolution of colony formation in *Cyrtophora* (Araneae, Araneidae). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 54: 321–339.

Mannion C, Amalin D, Peña J, Edwards GB. 2002. A new spider in Miami-Dade County: *Cyrtophora citricola*. University of Florida Extension, Horticultural Newsletter 2(2): 3.

Pulido FJI. 2002. Manejo de la araña del Mediterraneo o araña parda enredadora. Instituto Colombiano Agropecuario report. 5p.

Rypstra AL. 1979. Foraging flocks of spiders. A study of aggregate behaviour in *Cyrtophora citricola* Forskål (Araneae: Araneidae) in West Africa. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 5: 291–300.

Smith D. 1983. Ecological costs and benefits of communal behavior in a presocial spider. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 13:107–114.

Suárez OYS. 1998. Identificación taxonómica, observación sobre la biología y comportamiento de *Cyrtophora citricola* Forskal (Arachnida: Araneidae). Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Agronomy Engineer thesis. 49 p.

Uetz GW. 1989. The “ricochet effect” and prey capture in colonial spiders. Oecologia 81:154–159.