

Understanding Nitrogen Transformations and Cycling for Sweet Corn Production in Sandy Soils¹

Rishi Prasad and George Hochmuth²

Introduction

In Florida, sweet corn was ranked sixth in total value (\$141 million) and was planted on 42,000 acres during the 2018–2019 season (NASS 2019). Sweet corn crops grown on sandy soils depend on proper nitrogen (N) fertilizer inputs and management. Because sandy soils have low water- and nutrient-holding capacities and Florida experiences high rainfall periodically, optimizing fertilizer use efficiency for sweet corn production is challenging. A plan that incorporates social, environmental, and economic considerations can help farmers produce sweet corn sustainably. To produce profitable crops while minimizing impacts on the environment, farmers must understand farm nutrient budgets. This document provides educational material about nitrogen budgets for growers, UF/IFAS Extension educators, and farm management advisers. This document stresses best management practices (BMPs) and will help farmers identify the major sources and sinks of N related to sweet corn production in sandy soils.

Managing Nitrogen in Florida's Sandy Soils for Sweet Corn Production: Major Concerns

Managing N in sandy soils is crucial because N is highly susceptible to leaching. Leaching is a major agronomic, environmental, and economic concern for growers.

- *Agronomic*—High N losses can deplete nutrients and significantly reduce crop growth and yield.
- *Environmental*—N lost via leaching can contaminate groundwater and drinking water supplies.
- *Economic*—As fertilizers become a bigger part of corn production input costs, replacing lost N becomes more expensive.

Managing N losses requires quantifying the N sources and sinks in the fields. Once the N sources and sinks are identified and quantified, farmers can adopt management strategies to minimize losses, increase yield, and maximize profit while protecting the environment. Improving N use efficiency for crop production is particularly important for farms in areas with an impaired (i.e., polluted/degraded for its intended use) water body and where a basin management action plan will require BMPs. Understanding the N budget will help growers select and adopt the most effective BMPs.

Nitrogen Budget: Definition and Examples

A nitrogen budget is a summary of imports and exports of N for a given system (Shober et al. 2011; Prasad et al. 2015). A nitrogen budget can help a farmer understand the sizes of various N pools, gains, and losses to the environment. (An

1. This document is SL430, one of a series of the Department of Soil and Water Sciences, UF/IFAS Extension. Original publication date April 2015. Reviewed April 2020. Visit the EDIS website at <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu> for the currently supported version of this publication.

2. Rishi Prasad, assistant professor and Extension specialist, Departments of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences & Animal Sciences at Auburn University, Alabama; and George Hochmuth, professor, Department of Soil and Water Science; UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611.

example of an N budget for potato was presented by Prasad and Hochmuth [2014] at <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/ss614>.)

A budget is considered “in balance” if inputs and outputs are equal. If inputs are greater than outputs, there is surplus of N in the system. Surplus of N may mean money is being wasted on excessive fertilizer (an economic concern) and that there is a higher risk of N leaching into groundwater (an environmental concern). If output is greater than input, then there is a deficit of N in the system (Oenema, Kros, and de Vries 2003). This deficit could take the form of N deficiency in the crop or a loss of N from the production system. Overall, the N budget represents the efficacy of N management practices on a farm growing sweet corn and can guide the farmer in adopting appropriate BMPs or making changes to current management practices.

Preparing the Nitrogen Budget

A nitrogen budget for sweet corn requires accounting for the inputs and outputs of N involved in sweet corn production. Depending on the ease of data collection, the N budget can be calculated by simply measuring the difference between the amount of N applied as fertilizer and the N exported off the farm in the marketable ears. This type of N budget is known as a *farm-gate budget*, which estimates the percentage of N input exported from the farm (i.e., out through the farm gate) but does not explain how much N was lost to the environment. (Hochmuth and Bennett [2011] presented a farm-gate budget for phosphorus in the context of growing watermelon in Florida [available at <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/ss547>].)

A more detailed N budget is the *soil system N budget*, which is prepared by accounting for all the possible inputs and outputs of N in the sweet corn production system (Table 1). The materials and methods associated with collecting this data and developing a soil system N budget require high scientific expertise and are beyond the scope of the present fact sheet. However, interested readers may refer to Prasad (2014) for detailed information.

The soil system N budget is highly informative since it not only provides information on the N uptake efficiencies or recoveries within the plant but also quantifies the pools of N losses. Additionally, the soil system N budget estimates the amount of N that is recycled back into the soil through roots, stubble, and other plant parts that are left in the field after harvest. The plant residues decompose and become a part of the soil organic matter pool and slowly supply N for the following crops.

Interpreting the Nitrogen Budget: Examples

The following examples show how to interpret the N budget. The hypothetical data used in the example, though realistic, are for illustration purposes only.

Farm-Gate Budget

Let us assume that a farmer growing sweet corn applied a total of 240 kg ha⁻¹ N. If the average N concentration was 2% in the ear tissue (which includes cob, husks, and kernels) and average dry weight of ears was 3,874 kg ha⁻¹, then the total N uptake in the ears was 78 kg ha⁻¹ N (see Equation 8 for calculation). As a result, of the total N applied (240 kg ha⁻¹) by the farmer, only 35% of N was exported from the farm in the sold ears. The fate of the remaining 65% of N is unknown in this type of budget. A limitation of this type of budget is that it does not explain what portion of the N in the crop came from fertilizer and what portion was contributed by soil organic matter or other N sources, such as rainfall or irrigation water. Thus, a farm-gate budget serves as a record book of N imports (as fertilizer) and exports (as sold products) for the farm.

Soil System Budget

Let us use the values given in Table 2 for calculating the soil systems budget. The data presented in Table 2 would require considerable time and resource investment for sample collection and analysis, such that these data would probably be derived from research studies on a farm. For our discussion we will focus on what can be learned from this type of budget.

The data in Table 2 shows the total amount of N that went into sweet corn production amounted to 287 kg ha⁻¹ N, of which 224 kg ha⁻¹ N was supplied through fertilizers, the remaining 63 kg ha⁻¹ N from nonfertilizer sources. The N contribution from nonfertilizer sources comprised 22% of the total N input (see Equation 1). The nonfertilizer sources of N are considered *variable sources of N inputs* because the amounts of N contributed by each are highly variable and change over time. The total plant N uptake was 189 kg ha⁻¹. Thus, the N use efficiency of this system was 66% (see Equation 2). However, the fertilizer-use efficiency was 84% (see equation 3). The amount of mineral N left in the soil after the plant harvest was 15 kg ha⁻¹ N. This leftover N in the soil accounted for 5% of the total N input. This leftover N can be credited to next season's crop as an *N credit* (see Equation 4) provided the N is not lost before planting via leaching due to heavy rainfall.

Of the total input in the N budget, we easily identified 71% N in the plant and soil (leftover N at the time of harvest) and 14% N lost via leaching, but we could not account for the remaining 15% of N (see Table 2). The unaccounted-for N (see Equation 5) was presumably associated with volatilization (i.e., vaporization) losses. Nitrogen is lost to the environment through leaching, volatilization, denitrification, or runoff; however, in Florida's sandy soils, leaching and volatilization N losses are most common (Prasad 2014). Although directly measuring leaching and volatilization losses requires high scientific expertise, the sum of these two losses can be estimated by subtracting the total N output from the total N inputs. Although this method provides an estimate of the N lost to the environment, it does not differentiate between leaching and volatilization losses of N.

The amount of N recycled back into the soil from the plant residues left in the field is another important part of this soil system N budget. In the present example, the marketable portion of a sweet corn plant is the ear. The remaining plant parts (such as leaves, stalk, stubble, and root) are left in the field for recycling and become part of the soil organic matter pool. Thus, 39% of the total N input was left in the soil for recycling (see Equation 6) whereas 27% N was exported off the farm as marketable ears (see Equation 7). It should be noted that the farm-gate budget overestimates the N exported from the farm: in the present example, the farm-gate budget estimated 35% of total N was exported from the farm whereas the soil system budget estimated 28% N was exported. The difference in the values arises because in the farm-gate budget, we counted the N from fertilizer only, but in the soil system budget we counted N from both fertilizer and nonfertilizer sources.

In summary, of the total N input for sweet corn production in sandy soils, 66% N was captured in the plants, 14% N was lost via leaching, and 5% N remained in soil after harvest. Of the 66% N that was captured in the plants, 27% N left the farm in the marketable ears, while the remaining 39% N stayed in the field for recycling and became part of the soil organic matter pool. The unaccounted-for N was 15% of the total N inputs, which was associated presumably with volatilization loss (denitrification losses, although they cannot be ruled out completely, are of less significance in sandy soils; these soils are not saturated or anaerobic and lack organic substrate and thus do not favor the denitrification reaction). The total environmental N loss (leaching plus volatilization) was 29%. Growers can use Table 3 and equations given below to draw similar conclusions for crop production in their farm.

Conclusion

Nitrogen budgets are highly informative, effective tools for evaluating the N management in sustainable sweet corn production. Depending on the ease of data collection and the level of information required, either farm-gate or soil system N budgets can be prepared. High N recovery in the plants indicates less N loss and a sound N management practice, whereas low N recovery indicates poor crop performance, poor N management practice, and higher risk of N loss to the environment. Once the pools of N are quantified, farmers can implement management strategies to reduce losses, improve N efficiency, and achieve greater sustainability in sweet corn production.

Equation 1: %N supplied through nonfertilizer sources = (amount of N from nonfertilizer source ÷ total N (fertilizer + non fertilizer sources)) × 100

Equation 2: N use efficiency = (Plant N uptake ÷ Total N inputs) × 100

Equation 3: Fertilizer use efficiency = (Plant N uptake ÷ Total N supplied through fertilizer) × 100

Equation 4: %N remaining in soil (N credit) = (mineral N present in soil at harvest ÷ total N input) × 100.

Equation 5: Unaccounted-for %N = 100 – (%N captured in plant + %N remaining in soil + %N lost via a known pathway such as leaching)

Equation 6: %N in plant residue left for recycle in the soil system = (N present in stalk + leaves + root + stubble) ÷ Total N inputs × 100

Equation 7: %N exported from farm as marketable ears = (N present in ears ÷ Total N input) × 100

Equation 8: Total plant N uptake (kg ha^{-1}) = (%N in tissue N × dry tissue yield weight kg ha^{-1}) ÷ 100

References

Hochmuth, G., and J. Bennett. 2011. *Nutrient Mass Budget—The Case of Florida Watermelon Phosphorus Export*. SS547/SL342. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/ss547>

National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS). 2019. 2019 State Agriculture Overview. https://www.nass.usda.gov/Quick_Stats/Ag_Overview/stateOverview.php?state=FLORIDA

Oenema, O., H. Kros, and W. de Vries. 2003. “Approaches and Uncertainties in Nutrient Budgets: Implications for Nutrient Management and Environmental Policies.” *European Journal of Agronomy* 20: 3–16.

Prasad, R., and G. Hochmuth. 2014. *How to Calculate a Partial Nitrogen Mass Budget for Potato*. SS614/SL401. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/ss614>

Prasad, R., G.J. Hochmuth, and K.J. Boote. 2015. “Estimation of Nitrogen Pools in Irrigated Potato Production on Sandy Soil Using the Model SUBSTOR.” *PLoS ONE* 10(1). DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0117891

Shober, A.L., G. Hochmuth, and C. Wiese. 2011. *An Overview of Nutrient Budgets for Use in Nutrient Management Planning*. SS562/SL361. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/ss562>

Table 1. Major inputs and outputs of a soil system nitrogen budget

	Nitrogen Inputs	Nitrogen Outputs
	1. Initial mineral* N present in soil before planting	1. Mineral N in the soil at harvest
	2. Nitrogen supplied through mineralization of soil organic matter and crop residues	
	3. Inorganic N present in irrigation water and total amount of water applied during the growing season	2. Total** N present in plant parts - root, stalk, leaves, and ear
	4. Wet (inorganic N in rainfall) + dry atmospheric deposition	3. Environmental N losses
	5. Nitrogen supplied through inorganic or organic (e.g., animal manure) fertilizers	a) Leaching loss
		b) Volatilization loss
		c) Denitrification loss
		d) Runoff loss
Total	Σ Inputs	Σ Outputs
Balance = ΣN inputs – ΣN outputs		
*Mineral N or inorganic N is a sum of (NO _x – N) + (NH ₄ – N)		
**Total N is sum of organic plus inorganic N		

Table 2. Illustration of a soil system budget for sweet corn

Nitrogen Inputs	kg ha ⁻¹	Nitrogen Outputs	kg ha ⁻¹
1. Initial mineral* N present in soil before planting	25	1. Mineral N in the soil at harvest	15
2. Nitrogen supplied through mineralization of soil organic matter and crop residue	20	2. Total** N present in plant parts	
3. Nitrogen (if present) applied through irrigation water during the growing season	15	a) Root	18
4. Atmospheric deposition of N (wet +dry)	3	b) Stalk	36
5. Nitrogen supplied through inorganic or organic fertilizers	224	c) Leaves	43
		d) Ear	78
		e) Stubble	14
		3. Environmental N losses	
		a) Leaching loss	40
		b) Volatilization loss	?
		c) Denitrification loss	0
		d) Runoff loss	0
Total	287		244
Balance = N inputs – N outputs	43		
*Mineral N or inorganic N is a sum of (NO _x – N) + (NH ₃ – N)			
**Total N is sum of organic plus inorganic N			

Table 3. Performance indicators of a soil system nitrogen budget

Parameters	%	Use
% N supplied from sources other than fertilizer	22	Equation 1
Nitrogen use efficiency	66	Equation 2
Fertilizer use efficiency	84	Equation 3
% N that was left in soil at harvest (N credit)	5	Equation 4
% N that was unaccounted for	15	Equation 5
% N in stalk, leaf, and stubble left in the soil for recycling	39	Equation 6
% N exported off the farm as sold ears	27	Equation 7