

# What Types of Urban Greenspace are Better for Carbon Dioxide Sequestration?<sup>1</sup>

Mark Hostetler and Francisco Escobedo<sup>2</sup>

## Introduction



Figure 1. A representative school site that is dominated by turfgrass in Miami-Dade.

Credits: Francisco Escobedo, UF/IFAS

Meeting Florida House Bill 697 requirements to reduce Florida's carbon emissions will require a judicious look at how human-dominated landscapes are performing. It has been suggested that conserved urban greenspace could be used for carbon credit. But are all types of open spaces equal in terms of their ability to sequester carbon? Intuitively, this is not the case because we know that different types of vegetation (e.g., hammock vs. turf) and

how they are managed will sequester different quantities of CO<sub>2</sub>. Using representative 400 m<sup>2</sup> plot measurements (Zhao et al. 2010) and modeling of tree carbon sequestration (Escobedo et al., 2009) and estimates of lawn sequestration from various land use types in Florida, including their maintenance emissions, we calculated the source/sink potential of a 4 hectare (9.88 acres) site. Only above-ground vegetation values were calculated; soils and below ground organic matter were not included in the calculations.

The take home message is that highly maintained lawns and trees sequester much less CO<sub>2</sub> than more natural areas with little maintenance (Table 1). With more lawn cover than tree canopy cover, the balance can actually shift to emitting CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g., older residential areas in Miami-Dade). Of note is that we did not calculate the impact of built surfaces, just vegetative. The calculations were simplified as we did not add the carbon cost of making and maintaining the power equipment or the carbon cost of growing and transporting sod. In particular, we did not calculate the emission of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) from fertilization applications. Urban turfgrass typically emits N<sub>2</sub>O after fertilization and/or irrigation. N<sub>2</sub>O has a much worse global warming potential (GWP) as its heat-absorbing potential is approximately 300 times more than CO<sub>2</sub>. With these unmeasured factors, city parks with high maintenance regimes may have much larger impacts than reported here. Thus, urban open space that has a large amount of mowed, irrigated, fertilized

1. This document is WEC279, one of a series of the Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, UF/IFAS Extension. Original publication date March 2010. Revised January 2013. Reviewed February 2019. Visit the EDIS website at <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu> for the currently supported version of this publication.
2. Mark Hostetler, associate professor and Extension specialist, Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation; and Francisco Escobedo, assistant professor, School of Forest Resources and Conservation; UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611.

lawns and pruned shrubs and trees can be a source of CO<sub>2</sub> rather than a sink. These CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are not trivial; for example, a 4-hectare greenspace in Miami-Dade with 85% of the land covered in lawn would emit over 11 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year (Table 1).

Further, because below-ground soil carbon sequestration was not calculated, full carbon credit could not be assessed and these above-ground numbers reported should be regarded as a first look at the potential carbon value of urban greenspace. At this stage, natural greenspaces in and around urban areas, with little to no maintenance, seem to be the best option for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration. Natural urban greenspaces also have other benefits, such as biodiversity conservation, reduced stormwater runoff, and reduced fertilizer applications. Overall, the conservation of urban open space could play a role in reducing Florida's carbon footprint, but highly maintained urban greenspace could be regarded as a source of greenhouse gases. In relation to HB 697, these results indicate that if municipalities and developers are to use green spaces as CO<sub>2</sub> sinks, they will have to justify the creation of such high-maintenance parks and may have to mitigate their effects.

## Additional Resources

For additional information on conservation subdivisions, urban forestry, and conserving urban biodiversity, a variety of online guides, books and other publications exist.

## Books and Scientific Publications

Jo, H. and G. E. McPherson. (1995). "Carbon storage and flux in urban residential greenspace." *Journal of Environmental Management* 45: 109–133.

Nowak, D.J. and D.E. Crane. 2002. "Carbon storage and sequestration by urban trees in the USA." *Environmental Pollution* 116, pp. 381–389

Schlesinger, W. H. 1999. Carbon sequestration in soils, *Science*, 284, 2095, doi:10.1126/science.284.5423.2095.

Thompson, J. W., and K. Sorvig. 2008. *Sustainable Landscape Construction: A Guide to Green Building Outdoors* 2nd edition. Washington DC: Island Press.

Townsend-Small, A. and C. I. Czimczik. (2010). Carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas emissions in urban turf. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 37, L02707, doi:10.1029/2009GL041675.

Zhao, M., F. Escobedo, and C. Staudhammer. 2010. Spatial patterns of a subtropical, coastal urban forest: Implications for land tenure, hurricanes, and invasives, *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Accepted

## Online

Hostetler, M. E., G. Klowden, S. Webb, S. W. Miller, and K. N. Youngentob. 2003. Landscaping backyards for wildlife: top ten tips for success. <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/UW175>

Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation Extension <http://www.wec.ufl.edu/extension/>

Escobedo, F., J. Seitz, and W. Zipperer, 2009. Carbon sequestration and storage by Gainesville's urban forest. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. FOR 210. [http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/document\\_fr272](http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/document_fr272)

Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission—Planting a Refuge for Wildlife <https://myfwc.com/viewing/habitat/refuge/>

Florida's Urban and Urbanizing Forests Program <http://www.sfrc.ufl.edu/urbanforestry/>

Lawn Fertilization Recommendation <http://hort.ifas.ufl.edu/yourfloridalawn/>

Living Green <http://www.livinggreen.ifas.ufl.edu>

Program for Resource Efficient Communities <http://www.buildgreen.ufl.edu>

Sustainable Site Initiative <http://www.sustainablesites.org/>

DelValle, T. B., J. Bradshaw, B. Larson, and K. C. Ruppert. 2008. Energy Efficient Homes: Landscaping <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/fy1050>

USDA Forest Service, Urban forests and climate change resource center: <http://www.fs.fed.us/ccrc/topics/urban-forests/>

Table 1. Annual carbon sequestration by trees and lawns in different types of Florida urban greenspaces.

Greenspace type	Tree cover (m <sup>2</sup> )	Lawn cover (m <sup>2</sup> )	Tree seq.	Lawn seq.	CO <sub>2</sub> emitted - lawn	CO <sub>2</sub> emitted - tree	Net annual (CO <sub>2</sub> kg/yr) sequestration for a 4 hectare site
Miami-Dade	Tree/lawn cover per 400 m <sup>2</sup> plot		Tree/lawn CO <sub>2</sub> sequestration per 400 m <sup>2</sup> plot (kg CO <sub>2</sub> /yr)*		CO <sub>2</sub> emissions- tree/lawn maintenance per 400m <sup>2</sup> plot (CO <sub>2</sub> kg/yr) <sup>T</sup>		(CO <sub>2</sub> kg/yr)
Hammock	380	0	4653	0	0	0	465,330
Pine Rockland	120	0	70	0	0	0	6,980
Mangrove	400	0	3031	0	0	0	303,060
Commercial	72	8	247	0.3	3	6	23,860
Residential old	280	380	25	16	153	23	-13,570
Residential new	180	52	7	2	21	15	-2,640
Park/school	20	340	17	14	137	2	-10,800
<b>Gainesville</b>							
Pine hardwood	240	0	699	0	0	0	69,910
Swamp cypress	300	0	904	0	0	0	90,360
Plantation	200	0	162	0	0	16	14,570
Commercial	200	0	237	0	0	16	22,050
Residential old	360	100	428	4	36	29	36,660
Residential new	100	260	124	11	93	8	3,350
Park/school	0	88	0	4	32	0	-2,800
<b>Orlando</b>							
Pine palmetto	260	0	445	0	0	0	44,520
Oak pine	360	0	102	0	0	0	10,240
Cypress dome	320	0	1102	0	0	0	110,170
Commercial	40	80	39	3	29	3	1,020
Residential old	340	140	442	6	50	28	36,960
Residential new	60	80	63	3	29	5	3,280
Park/school	0	284	0	12	102	0	-9,050

\*Lawn sequestration rate (grass stubble only)<sup>1</sup> is 48.1 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>  
\*\*Lawn maintenance numbers are from three sources of carbon: fuel to maintenance equipment (122 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>2</sup>, energy for irrigation (193 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>3</sup>, and fuel inputs to manufacture fertilizer (1.436 moles of C per mole of N produced)<sup>5</sup>. Tree maintenance<sup>2</sup> is 81 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>.  
<sup>T</sup>Low fertilization rate recommended by IFAS for St. Augustine grass in south Florida<sup>4</sup> - 4lbs 1000 ft<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>  
<sup>T</sup>Low fertilization rate recommended by IFAS for St. Augustine grass in central Florida<sup>5</sup> - 2lbs 1000 ft<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>Jo, H. and McPherson, G.E. (1995). Carbon storage and flux in urban residential greenspace. Journal of Environmental Management 45: 109-133.  
<sup>2</sup>Townsend-Small, A. and Czimczik, C. I. (2010). Carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas emissions in urban turf. Geophysical Research Letters. 37, L02707, doi:10.1029/2009GL041675.  
<sup>3</sup>Schlesinger, W. H. (1999). Carbon sequestration in soils, Science, 284, 2095, doi:10.1126/science.284.5423.2095.  
<sup>4</sup><http://hort.ifas.ufl.edu/yourfloridalawn/>  
<sup>5</sup><http://hort.ifas.ufl.edu/yourfloridalawn/>